On-Grid and Off-Grid Compressive MIMO Radar (Part of Ongoing Work)

Michael Minner

Drexel University, USA

September 19, 2013

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Introduction



$4^{\rm th}$ International Summer School on Radar/SAR

Relation to Recent Work in the Area

Sparse frequency diverse MIMO radar imaging for off-grid target based on adaptive iterative MAP. X. He, C. Liu, B. Liu, and D. Wang. [4]

ULA MIMO Azimuth & Range Linear Chirps Size *M*N*Q* Linearization

MIMO with Random Array Azimuth, Delay & Doppler Kerdock Waveforms Size N*Q Mathematical Framework

Accurate detection of moving targets via random sensor arrays and Kerdock Codes. T. Strohmer and H. Wang. [5]

THIS PROJECT 2D Spotlight SAR Stationary Targets Linear Chirps Size Q Linearization

Compressive radar with off-grid targets: A perturbation approach. A. Fannjiang and H. Tseng. [2]

Compressive Sensing



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

MIMO Radar



M Transmitters Signals $s_m(t)$ N Receivers P Point Targets Far-Field Small Scene

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Discretizations

- Discretize the scene with U angle bins, V time delay bins and W Doppler bins and associated discretization steps Δ_θ, Δ_τ, Δ_υ.
- Grid locations:
 - $(\theta_k, \tau_k, \upsilon_k) = (\theta_{\text{ref}} + u_{(k)}\Delta_{\theta}, \tau_{\text{ref}} + v_{(k)}\Delta_{\tau}, \upsilon_{\text{ref}} + w_{(k)}\Delta_{\upsilon}),$ where k = 1, ..., K, with K = UVW.
- These point targets possess complex reflectivity coefficients ρ_k and are assumed to be (slow) moving with constant velocity towards the antennas.

Setup

Array Manifolds:

$$\mathbf{a}(\theta_k) = \left[1, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}dt_2\theta_k}, ..., e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}dt_M\theta_k}\right]^T$$
$$\mathbf{b}(\theta_k) = \left[1, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}dr_2\theta_k}, ..., e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}dr_N\theta_k}\right]^T$$

Received Signal:

$$z_{mn}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{P} \rho_k b_n(\theta_k) a_m(\theta_k) s_m(t - \tau_k) \exp[-i2\pi \upsilon_k t] + w_{mn}(t)$$

Transmitted Signals

Linear Frequency Modulated (LFM) chirps:

$$s_m(t) = \exp\left[i2\pi\left(\frac{lpha}{2}t^2 + f_mt\right)\right]I_T(t)$$

Here $f_m = f_0 + m\alpha T$ is the carrier frequency.

Dechirping gives the following:

$$s_m(t - \tau_k)s_m^*(t - \tau_{\text{ref}}) = I_T(t')\exp\left[-i2\pi(f_m + \alpha t')(\tau_k - \tau_{\text{ref}})\right]$$
$$\exp\left[i\pi\alpha(\tau_k - \tau_{\text{ref}})^2\right],$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where $t' = t - \tau_{ref}$. Remove the Residual Video Phase term [1].

Measurements

After dechirping and sampling at times t'_q for q = 1, ..., Q:

$$z_{mn}(t'_q) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_k \exp\left[\frac{i2\pi}{\lambda}(dt_m + dr_n)\theta_k\right]$$
$$\exp\left[-i2\pi(f_m + \alpha t'_q)(\tau_k - \tau_{\text{ref}})\right]$$
$$\exp\left[-i2\pi v_k(t'_q + \tau_{\text{ref}})\right] + w_{mn}(t'_q)$$

Goal: Recover $\{\rho_k, \theta_k, \tau_k, \upsilon_k\}_{k=1}^K$ from the set of $\{z_{mn}(t'_q)\}$.

Compressive Sensing for On-Grid Targets

Vectorize:
$$\mathbf{z} := \operatorname{vec}(z_{mn}(t'_q))$$
, $\mathbf{w} := \operatorname{vec}(w_{mn}(t'_q))$ and
 $\mathbf{h}_k := \operatorname{vec}\left(\exp\left[\frac{i2\pi}{\lambda}(dt_m + dr_n)\theta_k\right]\exp[-i2\pi\upsilon_k(t'_q + \tau_{ref})]$
 $\exp\left[-i2\pi(f_m + \alpha t'_q)(\tau_k - \tau_{ref})\right]\right)$,

which are all vectors of size MNQ. We store the \mathbf{h}_k 's via:

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 & \mathbf{h}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{h}_K \end{pmatrix}.$$

Letting $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 & \cdots & \rho_K \end{bmatrix}^T$, which is *P*-sparse, we arrive at $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbf{w}.$

On-Grid Target Locations



Orthogonal Matching Pursuit



Adaptive Inverse Scale Space [M. Burger, et al. 2012]



ℓ_1^2 Regularization [S. Foucart, D. Koslicki, 2013]



True Target Locations



Perturbations

True Locations:

$$(\tilde{\theta}_k, \tilde{\tau}_k, \tilde{\upsilon}_k) = (\theta_k + \phi_k \Delta_\theta, \tau_k + \xi_k \Delta_\tau, \upsilon_k + \nu_k \Delta_\upsilon),$$

with ϕ_k, ξ_k and $\nu_k \in [0, 1)$.

$$z_{mn}(t'_q) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_k \exp\left[\frac{i2\pi}{\lambda}(dt_m + dr_n)(\theta_k + \phi_k \Delta_\theta)\right]$$
$$\exp\left[-i2\pi(f_m + \alpha t'_q)(\tau_k + \xi_k \Delta_\tau - \tau_{ref})\right]$$
$$\exp\left[-i2\pi(t'_q + \tau_{ref})(\upsilon_k + \nu_k \Delta_\upsilon)\right] + w_{mn}(t'_q)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Goal: Recover $\{\rho_k, \theta_k, \tau_k, \upsilon_k, \phi_k, \xi_k, \nu_k\}_{k=1}^K$ from the set of measurements $\{z_{mn}(t'_q)\}$.

How to accommodate these perturbations? Linearization:

$$\exp\left[\frac{i2\pi}{\lambda}(dt_m + dr_n)\phi_k\Delta_\theta\right] \approx 1 + \frac{i2\pi}{\lambda}(dt_m + dr_n)\phi_k\Delta_\theta$$
$$\exp\left[-i2\pi(f_m + \alpha t'_q)\xi_k\Delta_\tau\right] \approx 1 - i2\pi(f_m + \alpha t'_q)\xi_k\Delta_\tau$$
$$\exp\left[-i2\pi(t'_q + \tau_{\rm ref})\nu_k\Delta_\upsilon\right] \approx 1 - i2\pi(t'_q + \tau_{\rm ref})\nu_k\Delta_\upsilon.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Ignore cross terms in the multiplication.

Off-Grid Measurements

We introduce the matrix **G**, of size $MNQ \times 4K$, where

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{H}_1 & \mathbf{H}_2 & \mathbf{H}_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here **H** is the same matrix as in the on-grid scenario, while $\mathbf{H}_1, \mathbf{H}_2$ and \mathbf{H}_3 are all matrices of size $MNQ \times K$, whose columns are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{1k} &:= \mathbf{h}_k \odot \operatorname{vec} \left(\frac{i2\pi}{\lambda} (dt_m + dr_n) \Delta_\theta \right), \\ \mathbf{H}_{2k} &:= \mathbf{h}_k \odot \operatorname{vec} \left(-i2\pi (f_m + \alpha t'_q) \Delta_\tau \right), \\ \mathbf{H}_{3k} &:= \mathbf{h}_k \odot \operatorname{vec} \left(-i2\pi (t'_q + \tau_{\mathsf{ref}}) \Delta_\upsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Off-Grid Compressive Sensing MIMO Problem

We introduce the vector σ , of size 4K and 4P-sparse, to represent the target scene:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{
ho}^{\mathsf{T}} | & \boldsymbol{
ho}_1^{\mathsf{T}} | & \boldsymbol{
ho}_2^{\mathsf{T}} | & \boldsymbol{
ho}_3^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}},$$

here $\rho_{1k} := \phi_k$, $\rho_{2k} := \xi_k$, $\rho_{3k} := \nu_k$. Hence, the measurement process is approximated by

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{w}.$$

Goal: Recover $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ from \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{G} and in turn estimate $\theta_k, \tau_k, \upsilon_k, \phi_k, \xi_k$ and ν_k associated with each nonzero ρ_k .

Ongoing Work

 Currently, this approach does not yield favorable results with standard methods.

- The on-grid locations are correctly identified but the perturbation terms are lost.
- Problems, Questions & Future Work...

References

- W. Carrara, R. Goodman, and R. Majewski. Spotlight Synthetic Aperture Radar: Signal Processing Algorithms. Artech House, 1994.
- [2] A. Fannjiang and H. Tseng. Compressive radar with off-grid targets: A perturbation approach. *Inverse Problems*, 29(5):054008, 2013.
- [3] S. Foucart and H. Rauhut. A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing. Birkhäuser, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, 2013.
- [4] X. He, C. Liu, B. Liu, and D. Wang.
 - Sparse frequency diverse MIMO radar imaging for off-grid target based on adaptive iterative MAP.

Remote Sensing, 5(2):631-647, 2013.

[5] T. Strohmer and H. Wang.

Accurate detection of moving targets via random sensor arrays and Kerdock codes.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Preprint, 2013.

Thank You!!

◆□ → < @ → < Ξ → < Ξ → ○ < ○ </p>